1 2	Donald S. Edgar (State Bar No.: 139324) Jeremy Fietz (State Bar No.: 200396) Rex Grady (State Bar No.: 232236) EDGAR LAW FIRM		
3	408 College Avenue Santa Rosa, California 95401		
4	Telephone: (707) 545-3200 Facsimile: (707) 578-3040		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
6	DYLAN LAMM, et al.		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10		G N GV07 1007 NVD	
11	DYLAN LAMM, a minor by and through his guardian <i>ad litem</i> , MARY CATHERINE	Case No. CV07-4807 MHP	
12	DOHERTY; and KEVIN LAMM,	STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER RE CASE SCHEDULE	
13	Plaintiffs, )		
14	vs.		
15	BUMBO, BUMBO LIMITED, BUMBO (PTY) ) LTD.;TARGET CORPORATION; and DOES 1)		
	to 20,		
16	Defendants.		
17	)		
18	)		
19	Plaintiffs' Statement:		
20	As the Court is aware, this case involves a brain injury to a small infant. Plaintiffs have		
21	alleged product defect, which defendants deny. One of the essential issues in the case is whether		
22	or not the child, Dylan Lamm, has any residual impairment from his initial injury.		
23	Dylan Lamm, who has turned three (3) years old, was recently (on March 12 <sup>th</sup> , 2010)		
24			
25	evaluated by pediatric neuropsychologists, Dr. Theodore Wasserman (Florida) and Dr. Paul		
26	Beljan (Arizona), and their consultants who were retained by the Plaintiffs. This was the first		
27	time that Dylan was evaluated by pediatric neuropsychology specialists to determine whether his		
28	brain injury caused and/or likely will cause, residu	nal problems. These experts are preparing their	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE SCHEDULE CASE NO.: CV07-4807 MHP

## Case 3:07-cv-04807-MHP Document 108 Filed 03/24/10 Page 2 of 4

reports, which, when completed, will be provided to the defense for a determination of whether either Bumbo or Target wishes to have Dylan examined by their own experts.

Pursuant to a stipulation by the parties, the Court set the case schedule, including expert witness disclosure. Plaintiffs' expert witness disclosure is currently scheduled for March 30, 2010. As a result of the recent findings by Drs. Wasserman and Beljan, Plaintiffs require additional time to explore and retain additional experts to assist with some of the needs just identified by these pediatric neuropsychologists.

Defendants, Bumbo and Target, in an honorable showing of professional courtesy, have both agreed to continue all of the case schedule by approximately ninety (90) days to accommodate for these needs (and to provide time for additional testing of the child by defense experts if they chose). Defendants believe that the results of the tests performed on behalf of the plaintiffs will require the defendants to conduct similar neuro-psychological tests. Those tests and the possible need to retain additional consultants would require at least sixty days between the time that the plaintiffs serve their Rule 26 reports and the defendants are required to issue theirs. The combined additional time to complete these additional tests and exchanges would equate to an approximate five month extension of the date for the completion of the exchange of expert reports.

There is no prejudice to any party by such a continuance, and, if continuance is not granted, there is a strong probability of prejudice to the child, Dylan Lamm, as this case represents his one opportunity to seek redress for his injuries. While it is not the reason for continuance of the schedule dates, it may be worth noting that, following these evaluations, the parties intend to mediate the case.

1	STIPULATION:		
2	It is hereby stipulated and agreed between the parties that the case schedule entered on		
3	12/16/09, may be amended to continue the various pre-trial and trial dates as follows:.		
4	The amended schedule shall now be as follows:		
5	Plaintiffs' Designation of expert witnesses: June 30, 2010;		
6 7	Defendant's Designation of expert witnesses: September 3, 2010;		
8	Plaintiffs' designation of rebuttal experts, if any: September 30, 2010		
9	• Expert discovery cutoff: October 29, 2010		
10   11	<ul> <li>Hearing of dispositive motions – November 30, 2010; 11/29/2010 at 2:00 p.m.</li> <li>January 12, 2011 at 2:30 p.m.</li> <li>Pretrial conference – December 15, 2010 (or to be determined by the Court) and,</li> </ul>		
12	• Trial – January 25, 2011 (or to be determined by the Court). at 8:30 a.m.		
13 14	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY THE PARTIES:		
15	Dated: March 23, 2010		
16 17 18 19 20 21	By: /s/Jeremy R. Fietz  DONALD EDGAR  JEREMY R. FIETZ  J. REX GRADY  Attorneys for Plaintiffs  DYLAN LAMM, BY AND THROUGH  MARY CATHERINE DOHERTY; AND  KEVIN LAMM		
22 23	Dated: March 23, 2010 CONDON & FORSYTH LLP		
24	Dry /c/Frank A Silana		
25	By: /s/Frank A. Silane FRANK A. SILANE		
26   27	JENNIFER J. JOHNSTON CHRISTOPHER B. QUEALLY Attorneys for Defendant BUMBO (PTY) LTD.		
28	BUMBU (FII) LID.		

## 

1	Dated: March 23, 2010	BOORNAZIAN, JENSEN & GARTHE, A.P.C.
2		
3		By: /s/Gail C. Trabish
4		GAIL C. TRABISH Attorneys for Defendant TARGET CORPORATION
5		TARGET CORPORATION
6		
7	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT	
8	2/24/2010	STATES DISTRICT CO.
9	Dated:3/24/2010	INITED TATES DISTRICT ILLE
10		HONORABLE MORDERED THE
11		
12		Judge Marilyn H. Patel
13		
14		THERN DISTRICT OF CE
15		DISTRICT
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		